

1 objections as a statement of non-opposition. The Receiver filed a timely reply to Plaintiffs’
2 opposition on December 21, 2007.

3 Having carefully considered the Receiver’s application and reply, Plaintiffs’
4 opposition papers, and the record in this case, the Court finds good cause to grant the
5 Receiver’s Supplemental Application No. 2 as it relates to the Asthma Initiative. The Court
6 is satisfied by the points raised in the Receiver’s reply papers that Plaintiffs’ objections are
7 unwarranted. As discussed in the declaration of Terry Hill, the Receiver is taking and will
8 continue to take short-term remedial measures to address quality of care for asthma patients,
9 including taking adverse action against clinicians deemed responsible for identifiable deaths.
10 However, the Court agrees with the Receiver that such measures are insufficient to effectuate
11 sustainable change and that, therefore, the Asthma Initiative is a necessary part of the
12 Receiver’s reform efforts. The Court further agrees that it would be ineffective to attempt to
13 implement existing models, such as that developed at Pelican Bay State Prison over a number
14 of years, on a statewide basis, as such implementation would be likely to lead to unnecessary
15 delay and greater numbers of preventable deaths, and, more importantly, because no
16 California prison is even attempting to meet national standards regarding asthma education
17 and prevention. Finally, the Receiver has adequately explained why outside expertise is
18 needed to develop the Asthma Initiative, and the Court is satisfied that a twelve-month time
19 frame to implement the majority of the proposed clinical interventions is, if anything,
20 aggressive and not prolonged.

21 In short, the Court agrees with the Receiver that the Asthma Initiative is critical to
22 establishing a constitutional system of medical care delivery in California’s prisons, and that
23 failure to obtain a waiver of state law would prevent the Receiver from achieving that goal in
24 a timely fashion. Moreover, the Court is satisfied that there is no alternative to the requested
25 waiver that would achieve a constitutional remedy in this instance.

26 That said, the Court does not find it appropriate to grant the Receiver his requested
27 waiver of state law as to all “quality improvement projects.” The Receiver’s application
28 identifies and discusses only one such project – the Asthma Initiative – and granting a

1 broader waiver as to unidentified projects would not comport with the careful manner in
2 which this Court has approached waiving state law when necessary.

3 Accordingly, with good cause appearing for the reasons discussed above, IT IS
4 HEREBY ORDERED that:

5 1. The Receiver's Supplemental Application No. 2 for a waiver of state law is
6 GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. The application is DENIED without
7 prejudice as to all unidentified "quality improvement projects." Should the need for a waiver
8 arise once the Receiver develops the contemplated quality improvement projects, the Court
9 will re-evaluate an application for a waiver at that time. To reduce inefficiency, the Receiver
10 shall attempt to seek a stipulation from the parties before filing any further supplemental
11 applications for waivers of state law.

12 2. The application for a waiver of state law is GRANTED as to the Receiver's
13 Asthma Initiative, and the following state codes and laws shall be waived with respect to the
14 Asthma Initiative that is described more fully in the Receiver's Supplemental Application
15 No. 2:

- 16 • California Government Code ("Gov't Code") sections 14825-14828 and State
17 Contracting Manual ("SCM") sections 5.10A, 5.75, and 5.80 (governing
18 advertisement of state contracts);
- 19 • California Public Contract Code ("PCC") sections 10290-10295, 10297, 10333,
20 10335, 10351, and 10420-10425; Gov't Code section 14616; and SCM sections
21 4.00-4.11 (governing approval of contracts by Department of General Services
22 ("DGS") and exemption from and consequences of failure to obtain DGS
23 approval);
- 24 • PCC sections 10308, 10309, and 10314; SCM, volume 2; and State
25 Administrative Manual ("SAM") sections 3500-3696.3 (governing procurement
26 of goods);
- 27 • PCC sections 6106, 10109-10126, 10129, 10140, 10141, 10180-10185, 10220,
28 10301-10306, 10340-10345, 10351, 10367, and 10369; Gov't Code sections

1 4525-4529.20, 4530-4535.3, 7070-7086, 7105-7118, and 14835-14837;
2 California Military and Veterans Code sections 999-999.13; California Code of
3 Regulations, title 2, sections 1195-1195.6; SCM sections 5.00-6.40; and
4 Management Memo (“MM”) 03-10 (governing competitive bidding, required
5 language in bid packages, non-competitive bid (“NCB”) procedures, preferential
6 selection criteria, contractor evaluations and notice, contract award and protest
7 procedures for service, consulting service, construction project management, and
8 public works contracts);

- 9 • PCC sections 10314 and 10346 (governing progress payment limitations);
- 10 • Gov’t Code section 13332.09 and MM 06-03 (governing vehicle purchases);
- 11 • PCC sections 12100-12113, 12120-12121, and 12125-12128; SCM, volume 3;
12 and SAM sections 4800-4989.3 and 5200-5291 (governing procurement of
13 information technology, telecommunications, and data processing goods and
14 services and applicable alternate protest procedures);
- 15 • Gov’t Code sections 13332.10, 14660, 14669, and 15853 (governing acquisition
16 and leasing of real property);
- 17 • Gov’t Code sections 13332.19 and 15815 (governing plans, specifications, and
18 procedures for major capital projects); and
- 19 • PCC sections 10365.5 and 10371; and SCM section 3.02.4 (governing
20 restrictions on and approval for multiple contracts with the same contractor).

21 3. The Receiver shall follow the alternative contracting procedures set forth in this
22 Court’s June 4, 2007 order for the Asthma Initiative.

23 4. At the Receiver’s discretion, he may either (1) publish provisions requiring
24 contractor certifications of compliance on his website and include a single representation in
25 the contracts he awards to the effect that the contractor has read, and attests that he/she/it is
26 in compliance with, the required provisions; or (2) directly incorporate into the agreements
27 standard contract provisions pertaining to contractor certifications of compliance utilized by
28 DGS and available online on DGS’s website.

1 5. The Receiver's quarterly progress reports shall contain a summary that
2 (1) specifies each contract the Receiver has awarded during the quarter under this waiver;
3 (2) provides a brief description of each such contract; and (3) identifies the method the
4 Receiver utilized to award the contract (i.e., expedited formal bidding, urgent informal
5 bidding, or sole-source bidding).

6

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8

9 Dated: 01/25/08



10 THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28